KENT COUNTY COUNCIL – PROPOSED RECORD OF DECISION

DECISION TO BE TAKEN BY:

Peter Oakford, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance, Corporate and Traded Services.

DECISION NO:

To be allocated by Democratic Services

For publication [Do not include information which is exempt from publication under schedule 12a of the Local Government Act 1972]

Key decision: YES

Subject Matter / Title of Decision:

Disposal of the former Ladesfield Care Home site, Vulcan Close, Whitstable, CT5 3LZ

Decision:

As Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance, Corporate and Traded Services I agree to:

Approve the disposal of the Ladesfield Care Home site, Vulcan Close, Whitstable, CT5 3LZ and delegate authority to the Director of Infrastructure, to enter into any necessary legal agreements to finalise the terms of the proposed sale.

Governance:

The Executive Scheme of Delegation for Officers set out in Appendix 2 Part 4 of the Constitution (and the directorate schemes of sub-delegation made thereunder) provides the governance pathway for the implementation of this decision by officers as it assumes, at 1.9 of the scheme, that once a Member-level decision has been taken, the implementation of that decision will normally be delegated to officers, so that multiple Member decisions are not required in respect of the same matter.

In this instance, the Director of Infrastructure will be the lead officer seeking to ensure that all such steps as are necessary to implement the decision are undertaken.

Reason(s) for decision:

The site has been declared surplus to Kent County Council's requirements. The disposal will secure a capital receipt to fund the Capital Programme and streamline the property portfolio to achieve financial and efficiency benefits in line with appropriate property policy

Cabinet Committee recommendations and other consultation:

Consultation will take place with members of the Property Sub-Committee. Local Members will be consulted in line with the Property Management Protocol.

Any alternatives considered and rejected:

Following extensive marketing, the proposed sale represents best value for the property with the benefit of outline planning consent for residential development

Any	interest	declared	when	the	decision	was	taken,	and	any	dispensation	granted	by	the
Prop	per Office	er:											

signed

date